IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc.No.80031-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: FEBRUARY 20, 2007

Smt.Chander Parbha

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present: Mr. D.S.Bali, Sr.Advocate,
with Mr.D.V.Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr.Siddharth Sarup, AAG, Haryana.
Mr.Vikas Awasthy, Advocate,
for the complainant.

...

Petitioner Smt.Chander Parbha apprehending her arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No.631 dated 17.11.2006 registered under Sections 498-A/406 IPC at Police Station City Rohtak, has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail.

I have heard the counsel for the parties.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is 68 years old widowed mother-in-law of the complainant, has been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case after the death of her son (husband of the complainant).

Counsel for the petitioner further contends that in terms of the interim order dated December 21, 2006, the petitioner has joined the investigation. This fact has not been disputed by the State counsel and he further states that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation as most of the dowry articles have been recovered.

In view of the above, the interim order dated December 21, 2006 is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

Disposed of accordingly.

February 20, 2007
vkg

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE